### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

o:					PCT
see	form PC	CTASA/220			TTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
pplicant's or age				FOR FURTHE	
nternational appl		).	International filing date (	 (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.08.2003
360L11/18	ent Classi	fication (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant TOYOTA JID	OSHA H	KABUSHIKI I	KAISHA		
⊠ Box N ⊠ Box N □ Box N	No. 1 No. 11	Basis of the o Priority Non-establish Lack of unity	nment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
⊠ Box t		applicability;	citations and explanatio	ois.1(a)(i) with regard ns supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
☐ Box I	NoVI	applicability; c	citations and explanation ments cited	ns supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
☐ Box I	No. VI No. VII	applicability; c Certain docu Certain defec	citations and explanation ments cited cts in the international a	ns supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
☐ Box!☐ Box!☐ Box!	No. VI No. VII No. VIII	applicability; of Certain documentain defect Certain obse	citations and explanation ments cited	ns supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
Box ! Box ! Box ! Box !  Box !  If a dem written of the appli	No. VI No. VII No. VIII ER ACTI and for it opinion of icant cho onal Bur oe so con	applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obsesore.  ON International professes an Authoreau under Ruinsidered.	citations and explanation ments cited of the international approach of the internation of the internation is the internation of the internation is the internation of	pplication onal application s made, this opinior ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and	n will usually be considered to be a A*). However, this does not apply where I the chosen IPEA has notifed the ternational Searching Authority
Box ! Box ! Box ! Box ! Box !  If a dem written of the appl Internati will not !  If this op submit to months	No. VI No. VIII No. VIII ER ACTI and for in popinion or icant che ional Bur oe so con	applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obses on Authorisidered.  The Certain obses on Certain obses on Authorisidered.  The Certain obsession o	ments cited  tts in the international a rvations on the internati reliminary examination i roal Preliminary Examin ority other than this one le 66.1 bis(b) that writter  bove, considered to be	pplication onal application  s made, this opinior ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and opinions of this Int	n will usually be considered to be a A*). However, this does not apply where
Box! Box! Box! Box!  Box!  Box!  If a dem written of the appl Internati will not!  If this op submit to months whichever.	No. VI No. VIII No. VIII ER ACTI and for in opinion or icant che i	applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obses on Authorisidered.  The Certain obses on Certain obses on Authorisidered.  The Certain obsession o	citations and explanation ments cited of the international approach of the international approach of the international Preliminary Examination is the international Preliminary Examination of the 66.1 bis(b) that writter bove, considered to be ply together, where approach of Form PCT/ISA/220	pplication onal application  s made, this opinior ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and opinions of this Int	n will usually be considered to be a A*). However, this does not apply where I the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to
Box ! Box ! Box ! Box ! Box !  Box !  Box !  Box !  Box !  ### Box !  #### Box !  ###################################	No. VI No. VII No. VIII ER ACTI and for in popinion or icant che i	applicability; of Certain docur Certain defect Certain obse ON  International profit the Internationses an Authoristic au under Ruinsidered.  as provided a EA a written redate of mailings later.  Ins., see Form	citations and explanation ments cited of the international approach of the international approach of the international Preliminary Examination is the international Preliminary Examination of the 66.1 bis(b) that writter bove, considered to be ply together, where approach of Form PCT/ISA/220	pplication onal application  s made, this opinior ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and opinions of this Int	n will usually be considered to be a A*). However, this does not apply where I the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to
Box ! Box ! Box ! Box ! Box !  Box !  Box !  Box !  Box !  If a dem written of the appl Internati will not !  If this or submit t months whichev  For furth	No. VI No. VIII No. VIII ER ACTI and for in popinion or icant che	applicability; of Certain documents of Certain defection of Certain observational professional professional professional provided as provided as A a written reduced of mailings later.  Ins., see Formuls, see notes to the certain observational provided as later.	citations and explanation ments cited ets in the international approach of the international approach of the international preliminary examination is used. The international Preliminary Examination is used to be the international preliminary Examination is used. The international preliminary examination is used in the internation in the internation is used in the internation is used in the internation in the internation in the internation is used in the internation in the internation in the internation is used in the internation in the internation in the internation is used in the internation in the	pplication onal application  s made, this opinior ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and opinions of this Int	n will usually be considered to be a A*). However, this does not apply where I the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority  the IPEA, the applicant is invited to adments, before the expiration of three ation of 22 months from the priority date,

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/B2004/002683

	Day No	. I Basis of the opinion
	Box No	
1.	With re the lang	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and arry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4	4. Additi	onal comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002683

Box No. II	Priority
	wing document has not been furnished:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
□ t	ranslation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(t
Consequence of the consequence o	uently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has eless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
has bee	nion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority on found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the internation te indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
R. Additional of	oservations, if necessary:
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
•	
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step o
industrial a	
industrial a	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
industrial a	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step o pplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims 1-9
industrial a	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
industrial a  Statement  Novelty (N)	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims 1-9  No: Claims
industrial a	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims 1-9  No: Claims
industrial a  Statement  Novelty (N)  Inventive sta	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims No: Claims  Pep (IS)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 1-9 No: Claims
industrial a  Statement  Novelty (N)  Inventive sta	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims No: Claims  Pep (IS)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 1-9 No: Claims
industrial a  Statement  Novelty (N)  Inventive sta	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims No: Claims  Pep (IS) Yes: Claims No: Claims  Oplicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-9
industrial a  Statement  Novelty (N)  Inventive sta	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step opplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims  Pep (IS) Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims  Oplicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims

see separate sheet

## IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JAN 2006 International application No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/002683

#### Re Item V.

7

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2001/053950 A1 (AOYAGI SATOSHI ET AL) 20 December 2001 (2001-12-20)

D2: DE 101 61 965 A (PLUG POWER INC) 11 July 2002 (2002-07-11)

D3 : EP 1 286 405 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 26 February 2003 (2003-02-26)

D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2002, no. 03, 3 April 2002 (2002-04-03) &; JP 2001 307758 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CORP), 2 November 2001 (2001-11-02)

2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A fuel cell system including a fuel cell (figure 1, ref. 11), electric power storing means (figure 1, ref. 12), and electric power supplying means (figure 1, wiring) for supplying electric power to a load from the fuel cell and the electric power storing means, wherein the electric power supplying means includes intermittent operation means (page 4, paragraph 65) for stopping and starting the fuel cell according to a reference value.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

The fuel cell is stopped when an amount of power required by the load is smaller than a reference value, and the fuel cell is started when the amount of electric power required by the load is equal to or larger than the reference value, wherein threshold value adjusting means are provided for adjusting the reference value according to the internal electromotive force in the fuel cell whose operation has been stopped.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

Improving the response of the fuel cell system.

PCT/IB2004/002683

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET**

9

2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

> None of the cited documents D1 to D4 discloses to vary the reference value according to the electromotive force of the fuel cell.

Document D1 deals with maintaining the supply voltage of an electrical vehicle within an upper and a lower limit of a hysteresis.

Document D2 discloses not to modify the controlling of the fuel cell as long as the power requirement is kept within a certain hysteresis.

Document D3 is directed to efficiently using a fuel cell by storing outputted power during low power demand zones into a capacitor.

Document D4 teaches to start and stop a fuel cell system depending on the power demand of a vehicle.

Thus, there is no indication given in the cited documents D1 to D4 which would lead the skilled person towards varying the reference value according to the electromotive force of the fuel cell.

- 2.3 Claims 2-7 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.4 Claim 8 is directed to a method of using the apparatus according to claim 1. The method steps according to the subject matter of claim 8 represent steps of purposive use of the apparatus features according to claim 1. Therefore, the above reasoning with respect to the subject matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 8.
  - Consequently, the subject matter of claim 8 is considered as new (Article 33(2)PCT) and involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.5 Claim 9 is dependent on claim 8 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.